

**CRITERIA HAVING FINDINGS OR OBSERVATIONS
SUPPLEMENT TO THE FY 2002 ACSEP REPORT**

**Prepared by
Aircraft Certification Service**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
Table 1. – Systemic Findings.....	2 to 6
Table 2. – Systemic Observations.....	7 to 9
Table 3. – Isolated Observations.....	10 to 12
Table 4. – CFR-Based Observations.....	13 to 14
Table 5. – Systemic Findings at TSO Facilities.....	15 to 18
Table 6. – Systemic Observations at TSO Facilities.....	199
Table 7. – Isolated Observation at TSO Facilities	20 to 21
Table 8. – CFR-Based Observations at TSO Facilities.....	22
Table 9. – Systemic Findings at PC Facilities	23 to 25
Table 10. – Systemic Observations at PC Facilities	266
Table 11. – Isolated Observation at PC Facilities.....	27 to 28
Table 12. – CFR-Based Observations at PC Facilities	29
Table 13. – Systemic Findings at PMA Facilities.....	30 to 32
Table 14. – Systemic Observation at PMA Facilities	33 to 34
Table 15. – Isolated Observation at PMA Facilities	35 to 36
Table 16. – CFR-Based Observations at PMA Facilities	377

INTRODUCTION

The following tables provide the specific criteria data collected during FY 2002 ACSEP evaluations conducted at production approval holders. Tables 1 through 4 present data from all approval types combined. The remainder of the tables present data for the particular approval type specified.

Table 1. – Systemic Findings

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of Total Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
4P9	Completed product/part identification	21	6%	11%
5Q3	Accord with process specifications	19	5%	14%
4P4	Work instructions control manufacturing processes	14	4%	8%
11Q1	Control of nonconforming products	13	4%	7%
10Q1	Initial & periodic evaluation of suppliers	11	3%	6%
12Q5	Identification of age control products	11	3%	7%
4Q5	Inspection records	10	3%	5%
15M1	Internal auditing program	9	3%	5%
4Q1	Inspection methods and plans	9	3%	5%
4M1	Operation within production limitations	8	2%	4%
11Q2	Permanent identification of scrap material	8	2%	4%
4Q12	Completion of all inspections and tests	7	2%	4%
10Q10	Receiving inspection	7	2%	3%
2E7	Design/Technical data document control	7	2%	4%
2C1	Minor design change approval	6	2%	3%
10Q5	Flowdown of technical and quality requirements	6	2%	4%
10Q2	Use of approved suppliers	5	1%	3%
7Q1	Approval/inspection of tools and gauges	5	1%	3%
4Q3	Issuance of inspection stamps	5	1%	3%
2C4	Data submittal for TSO minor design change approval	5	1%	8%
2C2	Major Design Change Approval	5	1%	3%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of Total Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
5E1	All special processes in use identified	5	1%	4%
4P3	Work instructions reflect tech data	5	1%	3%
4E1	Accord with FAA-approved design data	5	1%	3%
12Q1	Prevention of part damage/contamination	5	1%	3%
1Q4	Quality Manual	4	1%	2%
8E1	Test procedures/instructions established	4	1%	3%
7Q2	Instructions for acceptance tooling	4	1%	2%
5Q2	Required qualifications/approvals	4	1%	3%
4P2	Work instructions prepared	4	1%	2%
12Q3	Storage of conforming parts	4	1%	2%
11Q4	Material review record generated	4	1%	2%
11Q3	MRB established and operational	3	1%	2%
2E2	Drawing control system	3	1%	2%
7Q4	Traceability to national/international standards	3	1%	2%
7Q14	Identification of gauges	3	1%	2%
2E9	Technical data file	3	1%	2%
12Q8	Conforming products packaged and shipped	3	1%	2%
10Q4	Control of buyer-furnished material	3	1%	3%
2E1	Design change approval	2	1%	1%
2E8	Major/minor design changes	2	1%	1%
2E6	Storage of design documents	2	1%	1%
7Q3	Tool & Gauge recall system	2	1%	1%
7Q12	Calibration records	2	1%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of Total Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
1Q5	Tags, forms, etc. described	2	1%	1%
9Q14	Critical penetrant parameters identified	2	1%	2%
9Q1	Operator qualification	2	1%	2%
7Q18	Action on product measured by SOT gauge	2	1%	2%
7Q16	Inaccurate tools and gauges identified	2	1%	1%
5Q4	Records maintained	2	1%	2%
5Q1	Equipment available and calibrated	2	1%	2%
4Q2	Location of inspection stations	2	1%	2%
4P5	Work instruction revision approval	2	1%	1%
1Q3	Quality Assurance staff qualifications	2	1%	2%
14C1	Failure reporting	2	1%	1%
12Q4	Segregation of products in storage	2	1%	1%
12Q2	Special environmental control	2	1%	1%
11Q6	Corrective action required	2	1%	1%
10Q9	Verification of shelf-life materials	2	1%	1%
10Q8	Verification of raw material	2	1%	1%
10Q12	Records of receiving inspection	2	1%	1%
10C1	Delegation of major inspection authority	2	1%	3%
6Q1	Statistical sampling inspection plans	1	0%	1%
1M5	Policy document review	1	0%	1%
9E2	Control of NDI processes and changes	1	0%	1%
7Q7	Accuracy of inspection & test equipment	1	0%	1%
7Q6	Calibration and use in acceptable environment	1	0%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of Total Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
4P7	Identification/control of partially accepted parts	1	0%	1%
4P6	Familiarity with specifications	1	0%	1%
3BE1	Software Configuration Management Plan	1	0%	1%
3AE1	Software Configuration Management Plan	1	0%	1%
2E3	Technical data change approval	1	0%	1%
1Q6	Record retention schedule	1	0%	0%
9Q9	Records of compliance	1	0%	1%
9Q8	Acceptance/rejection criteria provided	1	0%	1%
8Q1	QA review of test instructions	1	0%	1%
8E3	Approved flight checkoff form	1	0%	3%
8E2	Control of test procedure/ instruction change	1	0%	1%
7Q15	Care of tool and gauges	1	0%	1%
7Q11	Control of production tooling	1	0%	1%
6Q6	Training in SPC techniques	1	0%	1%
6Q2	Training in sampling techniques	1	0%	1%
5Q5	Action on process out of control	1	0%	1%
4Q9	Traceability to raw material	1	0%	1%
4Q10	Inspection marking	1	0%	1%
4P10	Aircraft marking	1	0%	4%
3BQ2	Build and load instructions	1	0%	1%
3BQ1	Verification prior to use	1	0%	1%
3BE4	Software security	1	0%	1%
3BE2	Change documentation and approval	1	0%	1%
3AE4	Recall/purge of obsolete software	1	0%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of Total Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
2S3	AD/safety-related design changes to users	1	0%	1%
2Q1	QA review of design/technical data changes	1	0%	1%
2C3	Distribution of Inst. for Continued Airworthiness approval	1	0%	1%
1S3	Service/Product Support staff qualifications	1	0%	1%
1Q1	Quality organization described	1	0%	1%
17Q6	Completion of all requirements	1	0%	1%
17Q3	Work in accordance with Part 43 requirements	1	0%	1%
16Q5	Documents to importing country	1	0%	1%
14C3	Submittal of quality system data changes	1	0%	1%
13Q1	Log books	1	0%	3%
12Q7	Control of product removal/issuance	1	0%	1%
11E1	Engineering review for major/minor changes	1	0%	1%
10Q7	Action on problem notification	1	0%	1%
10Q6	Quality Assurance review of purchase documents	1	0%	1%
10Q3	Approval of supplier quality manual	1	0%	1%

Table 2. – Systemic Observations

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Observations	Percent of Total Systemic Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
10Q1	Initial and periodic evaluation of suppliers	11	11%	6%
10Q2	Use of approved suppliers	8	8%	4%
7Q1	Approval/inspection of tools & gauges	6	6%	3%
2E1	Design change approval	6	6%	3%
4P4	Work instructions control manufacturing processes	5	5%	3%
11Q1	Control of nonconforming products	3	3%	2%
15M1	Internal auditing program	3	3%	2%
11Q3	MRB established and operational	3	3%	2%
4P9	Completed product/part identification	2	2%	1%
4Q12	Completion of all inspections and tests	2	2%	1%
4Q3	Issuance of inspection stamps	2	2%	1%
1Q4	Quality Manual	2	2%	1%
2E8	Major/minor design changes	2	2%	1%
2E6	Storage of design documents	2	2%	1%
6Q1	Statistical sampling inspection plans	2	2%	2%
1M5	Policy document review	2	2%	1%
7Q9	Control of special processing equipment	2	2%	2%
12Q5	Identification of age control products	1	1%	1%
4Q1	Inspection methods and plans	1	1%	1%
10Q10	Records of receiving inspection	1	1%	0%
2C1	Minor design change approval	1	1%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Observations	Percent of Total Systemic Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
2C4	Data submittal for TSO minor design change approval	1	1%	2%
2C2	Major Design Change Approval	1	1%	1%
8E1	Test procedures/ instructions established	1	1%	1%
7Q2	Instructions for acceptance tooling	1	1%	1%
5Q2	Required qualifications/approvals	1	1%	1%
4P2	Work instructions prepared	1	1%	1%
12Q3	Storage of conforming parts	1	1%	1%
2E2	Drawing control system	1	1%	1%
7Q3	Tool and gauge recall system	1	1%	1%
7Q12	Calibration records	1	1%	1%
1Q5	Tags, forms, etc. described	1	1%	1%
9E2	Control of NDI processes and changes	1	1%	1%
7Q7	Accuracy of inspection & test equipment	1	1%	1%
7Q6	Calibration and use in acceptable environment	1	1%	1%
4P7	Identification/control of partially accepted parts	1	1%	1%
4P6	Familiarity with specifications	1	1%	1%
3BE1	Software Configuration Management Plan	1	1%	1%
3AE1	Software Configuration Management Plan	1	1%	1%
2E3	Technical data change approval	1	1%	1%
1Q6	Record retention schedule	1	1%	0%
9Q6	Identification of known-defect samples	1	1%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Observations	Percent of Total Systemic Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
9Q3	NDI procedures/specifications available and used	1	1%	1%
9Q11	Critical radiographic parameters identified	1	1%	2%
6Q10	Corrective action	1	1%	1%
4Q6	Cleaners, solvents, etc. identified	1	1%	1%
4P1	Change approval	1	1%	1%
2S1	Service/Product support review of design changes	1	1%	1%
2E4	AD incorporation into design	1	1%	1%
15M2	Feedback to higher-level management	1	1%	1%

Table 3. – Isolated Observations

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Observations	Percent of Total Isolated Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
10Q1	Initial and periodic evaluation of suppliers	6	4%	3%
7Q1	Approval/inspections of tools & gauges	5	4%	3%
10Q2	Use of approved suppliers	5	4%	3%
4P9	Completed product/part identification	4	3%	2%
11Q2	Permanent identification of scrap material	4	3%	2%
11Q1	Control of nonconforming products	4	3%	2%
8E2	Control of test procedure/instruction changes	3	2%	2%
7Q3	Tool and gauge recall system	3	2%	2%
7Q14	Identification of gauges	3	2%	2%
7Q11	Control of production tooling	3	2%	2%
5Q4	Records maintained	3	2%	2%
4P4	Work instructions control manufacturing processes	3	2%	2%
4P2	Work instructions prepared	3	2%	2%
2E7	Design/Technical data document control	3	2%	2%
2E2	Drawing control system	3	2%	2%
15M2	Feed-back to higher level management	3	2%	2%
15M1	Internal auditing program	3	2%	2%
10Q10	Records of receiving inspection	3	2%	1%
7Q4	Traceability to national/international standards	2	1%	1%
7Q16	Inaccurate tools & gauges identified	2	1%	1%
5Q3	Accord with process specifications	2	1%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Observations	Percent of Total Isolated Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
4Q9	Traceability of raw material	2	1%	1%
4Q6	Policies/ procedures availability	2	1%	1%
4Q1	Inspection methods and plans	2	1%	1%
4P1	Change approval	2	1%	1%
4E1	Accord with FAA-approved design data	2	1%	1%
3AE5	Software security	2	1%	2%
2E1	Design change approval	2	1%	1%
2C4	Data submittal for TSO minor changes	2	1%	3%
1Q4	Quality Manual	2	1%	1%
13E1	AD incorporation	2	1%	2%
12Q5	Identification of age control products	2	1%	1%
12Q4	Segregation of products in storage	2	1%	1%
12Q3	Storage of conforming parts	2	1%	1%
12Q2	Special environmental controls	2	1%	1%
11Q4	Material review record generated	2	1%	1%
11Q3	MRB established and operational	2	1%	1%
10Q12	Records of receiving inspection	2	1%	1%
9Q9	Records of compliance	1	1%	1%
9Q4	Tanks and solutions checked	1	1%	1%
7Q9	Control of special processing equipment	1	1%	1%
7Q6	Calibration and use in acceptable environment	1	1%	1%
7Q15	Care of tools & gauges	1	1%	1%
6Q2	Training in sampling techniques	1	1%	1%
6Q1	Statistical sampling inspection plans	1	1%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Observations	Percent of Total Isolated Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
5Q2	Required qualifications/approvals	1	1%	1%
5Q1	Equipment available and calibrated	1	1%	1%
4Q5	Inspection records	1	1%	0%
4Q3	Issuance of inspection stamps	1	1%	1%
4Q12	Completion of all inspections and tests	1	1%	1%
4Q10	Inspection marking	1	1%	1%
4P7	Identification/control of partially accepted parts	1	1%	1%
4P6	Identification/control of partially accepted parts	1	1%	1%
4P5	Work instruction revision approval	1	1%	1%
4P3	Work instructions reflect tech data	1	1%	1%
3BE4	Software security	1	1%	1%
2Q1	QA review of design/technical data changes	1	1%	1%
2C1	Minor design change approval	1	1%	1%
1Q5	Tags, forms, etc. described	1	1%	1%
1Q3	Quality Assurance staff qualifications	1	1%	1%
1M6	Policy/procedures availability	1	1%	1%
1M4	FAA designee authority	1	1%	1%
14C4	Relocation of manufacturing facility	1	1%	1%
14C1	Failure reporting	1	1%	1%
12Q1	Prevention of part damage/contamination	1	1%	1%
11Q7	Corrective action monitored	1	1%	1%
10Q9	Verification of shelf-life materials	1	1%	1%
10Q5	Flow down of technical and quality requirements	1	1%	1%

Table 4. – CFR-Based Observations

Criteria	Description	Number of CFR-Based Observations	Percent of Total CFR-Based Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
4Q2	Location of inspection stations	4	10%	3%
4P9	Completed product/part identification	3	8%	2%
10Q8	Verification of raw material	3	8%	2%
6Q1	Statistical sampling inspection plans	2	5%	2%
2E8	Major/minor design changes	2	5%	1%
1Q6	Record retention schedule	2	5%	1%
1Q4	Quality Manual	2	5%	1%
8C1	Approval of flight test procedures	1	3%	3%
7Q16	Inaccurate tools & gauges identified	1	3%	1%
5Q3	Accord with process specifications	1	3%	1%
4Q1	Inspection methods and plans	1	3%	1%
4P1	Change approval	1	3%	1%
4E1	Accord with FAA-approved design data	1	3%	1%
3AE2	Configuration Index Document	1	3%	1%
2E9	Technical data file	1	3%	1%
2E7	Design/Technical data document control	1	3%	1%
2E2	Drawing control system	1	3%	1%
2E1	Design change approval	1	3%	1%
2C3	Distribution of Inst. for Continued Airworthiness approval	1	3%	1%
2C1	Minor design change approval	1	3%	1%
1Q5	Tags, forms, etc. described	1	3%	1%
1M2	Organizations described	1	3%	1%
15M1	Internal auditing program	1	3%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of CFR-Based Observations	Percent of Total CFR-Based Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
14C3	Submittal of quality system data changes	1	3%	1%
13E1	AD incorporation	1	3%	1%
12Q6	Incorporation of design changes	1	3%	1%
11Q1	Control of nonconforming products	1	3%	1%
11C1	Major changes approved	1	3%	1%

Table 5. – Systemic Findings at TSO Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of TSO Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
4P9	Completed product/part identified	9	6%	8%
4Q5	Inspection records	7	4%	6%
12Q5	Identification of age control products	7	4%	8%
5Q3	Accord with process specifications	6	4%	8%
4P4	Work instructions control manufacturing processes	6	4%	6%
15M1	Internal auditing program	6	4%	6%
11Q2	Permanent identification of scrap material	6	4%	6%
2C4	Data submittal for TSO minor changes	5	3%	100%
10Q2	Use of approved suppliers	5	3%	5%
4Q3	Issuance of inspection stamps	4	3%	4%
4P3	Work instructions reflect tech data	4	3%	4%
4M1	Operation within production limitations	4	3%	4%
10Q10	Receiving inspection	4	3%	3%
10Q1	Initial and periodic evaluation of suppliers	4	3%	4%
5E1	All special processes in use identified	3	2%	4%
4P2	Work instructions prepared	3	2%	3%
1Q4	Quality Manual	3	2%	3%
10Q5	Flow down of technical and quality requirements	3	2%	3%
8E1	Test procedures/ instructions established	2	1%	3%
7Q18	Action on product measured by SOT gauge	2	1%	4%
5Q4	Records maintained	2	1%	3%
2E9	Technical data file	2	1%	2%
2E6	Storage of design documents	2	1%	2%
2E1	Design change approval	2	1%	2%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of TSO Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
1Q3	Quality Assurance staff qualifications	2	1%	3%
12Q8	Conforming products packaged and shipped	2	1%	2%
12Q3	Storage of conforming parts	2	1%	2%
11Q4	Material review record generated	2	1%	2%
11Q3	MRB established and operational	2	1%	2%
11Q1	Control of nonconforming products	2	1%	2%
10Q4	Control of buyer-furnished material	2	1%	4%
10C1	Delegation of major inspection authority	2	1%	7%
9E2	Control of NDI process and changes	1	1%	2%
8Q1	QA review of test instructions	1	1%	1%
8E2	Control of test procedure/instruction changes	1	1%	1%
7Q6	Calibration and use in acceptable environment	1	1%	1%
7Q4	Traceability to national/international standards	1	1%	1%
7Q3	Tool & gauge recall system	1	1%	1%
7Q2	Instructions for acceptance tooling	1	1%	1%
7Q16	Inaccurate tools & gauges identified	1	1%	1%
7Q15	Care of tools & gauges	1	1%	1%
7Q14	Identification of gauges	1	1%	1%
7Q12	Calibration records	1	1%	1%
7Q1	Approval/inspections of tools & gauges	1	1%	1%
5Q5	Action on process out of control	1	1%	2%
5Q2	Required qualifications/approvals	1	1%	2%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of TSO Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
5Q1	Equipment available and calibrated	1	1%	2%
4Q9	Traceability of raw material	1	1%	1%
4Q2	Location of inspection stations	1	1%	2%
4Q12	Completion of all inspections and tests	1	1%	1%
4Q1	Inspection methods and plans	1	1%	1%
4P6	Familiarity with specifications	1	1%	1%
4E1	Accord with FAA-approved design data	1	1%	1%
3AE4	Recall/purge of obsolete software	1	1%	3%
2Q1	QA review of design/technical data changes	1	1%	1%
2E7	Design/Technical data document control	1	1%	1%
2E2	Drawing control system	1	1%	1%
2C1	Minor design change approval	1	1%	1%
1S3	Service/Product Support staff qualifications	1	1%	2%
1Q5	Tags, forms, etc. described	1	1%	1%
1M5	Policy document review	1	1%	1%
17Q6	Completion of all requirements	1	1%	3%
17Q3	Work in accordance with Part 43 requirements	1	1%	2%
16Q5	Documents to importing country	1	1%	2%
14C1	Failure reporting	1	1%	1%
12Q7	Control of product removal/issuance	1	1%	1%
12Q4	Segregation of products in storage	1	1%	1%
11Q6	Corrective action required	1	1%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of TSO Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
11E1	Engineering review of major/minor changes	1	1%	1%
10Q9	Verification of shelf-life materials	1	1%	1%
10Q8	Verification of raw material	1	1%	1%
10Q7	Action on problem notification	1	1%	1%
10Q3	Approval of supplier quality manual	1	1%	2%
10Q12	Records of receiving inspection	1	1%	1%

Table 6. – Systemic Observations at TSO Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Observations	Percent of TSO Systemic Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
11Q1	Control of nonconforming products	3	13%	3%
10Q1	Initial and periodic evaluation of suppliers	3	13%	3%
7Q1	Approval/inspections of tools & gauges	2	9%	2%
4P4	Work instructions control manufacturing processes	2	9%	2%
10Q2	Use of approved suppliers	2	9%	2%
9Q6	Identification of known-defect samples	1	4%	2%
9E2	Control of NDI process and changes	1	4%	2%
8E1	Test procedures/ instructions established	1	4%	1%
5Q2	Required qualifications/approvals	1	4%	2%
2S1	Service/Product support review of design changes	1	4%	2%
2E8	Major/minor design changes	1	4%	1%
2C4	Data submittal for TSO minor changes	1	4%	33%
2C1	Minor design change approval	1	4%	1%
1Q4	Quality Manual	1	4%	1%
15M1	Internal auditing program	1	4%	1%
12Q3	Storage of conforming parts	1	4%	1%

Table 7. – Isolated Observation at TSO Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Observations	Percent of TSO Isolated Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
7Q3	Tool & gauge recall system	2	5%	2%
5Q4	Records maintained	2	5%	3%
2E7	Design/Technical data document control	2	5%	2%
12Q2	Special environmental controls	2	5%	3%
11Q3	Approval of supplier quality manual	2	5%	2%
10Q12	Records of receiving inspection	2	5%	2%
10Q1	Initial and periodic evaluation of suppliers	2	5%	2%
8E2	Control of test procedure/ instruction change	1	3%	1%
7Q1	Approval/inspections of tools & gauges	1	3%	1%
6Q1	Statistical sampling inspection plans	1	3%	2%
5Q3	Accord with process specifications	1	3%	1%
4Q6	Policies/ procedures availability	1	3%	1%
4Q3	Issuance of inspection stamps	1	3%	1%
4P9	Completed product/part identification	1	3%	1%
4P4	Work instructions control manufacturing processes	1	3%	1%
4P1	Change approval	1	3%	1%
4E1	Accord with FAA-approved design data	1	3%	1%
3AE5	Software security	1	3%	3%
2Q1	QA review of design/technical data changes	1	3%	1%
2E2	Drawing control system	1	3%	1%
2E1	Design change approval	1	3%	1%
2C4	Data submittal for TSO minor design change approval	1	3%	33%

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Observations	Percent of TSO Isolated Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
1Q5	Tags, forms, etc. described	1	3%	1%
1Q3	Quality Assurance staff qualifications	1	3%	1%
15M1	Internal auditing program	1	3%	1%
14C1	Failure reporting	1	3%	1%
12Q5	Identification of age control products	1	3%	1%
12Q4	Segregation of products in storage	1	3%	1%
12Q3	Storage of conforming parts	1	3%	1%
12Q1	Prevention of part damage/contamination	1	3%	1%
10Q9	Verification of shelf-life materials	1	3%	1%
10Q5	Flow down of technical and quality requirements	1	3%	1%

Table 8. – CFR-Based Observations at TSO Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of CFR-Based Observations	Percent of TSO CFR-Based Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
4Q2	Location of inspection stations	4	19%	7%
4P9	Completed product/part identification	2	10%	2%
1Q6	Record retention schedule	2	10%	2%
1Q4	Quality Manual	2	10%	2%
7Q16	Inaccurate tools & gauges identified	1	5%	1%
6Q1	Statistical sampling inspection plans	1	5%	2%
4P1	Change approval	1	5%	1%
3AE2	Configuration Index Document	1	5%	3%
2E9	Technical data file	1	5%	1%
2E7	Design/Technical data document control	1	5%	1%
2C3	Distribution of Inst. for Continued Airworthiness approval	1	5%	2%
1Q5	Tags, forms, etc. described	1	5%	1%
1M2	Organizations described	1	5%	1%
13E1	AD incorporation	1	5%	1%
10Q8	Verification of raw material	1	5%	1%

Table 9. – Systemic Findings at PC Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of PC Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
4P4	Work instructions control manufacturing processes	7	9%	35%
5Q3	Drawing control system	4	5%	24%
12Q1	Prevention of part damage/contamination	4	5%	21%
15M1	Internal auditing program	3	4%	16%
11Q1	Control of nonconforming products	3	4%	14%
10Q1	Initial and periodic evaluation of suppliers	3	4%	18%
9Q14	Critical penetrant parameters identified	2	3%	13%
9Q1	Operator qualification	2	3%	12%
4Q1	Inspection methods and plans	2	3%	10%
4P5	Work instruction revision approval	2	3%	11%
4E1	Accord with FAA-approved design data	2	3%	10%
12Q5	Identification of age control products	2	3%	10%
9Q9	Records of compliance	1	1%	6%
9Q8	Acceptance/rejection criteria provided	1	1%	6%
8E3	Approved flight checkoff form	1	1%	8%
8E1	Test procedures/ instructions established	1	1%	5%
7Q4	Traceability to national/international standards	1	1%	5%
7Q2	Instructions for acceptance tooling	1	1%	5%
7Q16	Inaccurate tools & gauges identified	1	1%	5%
7Q14	Identification of gauges	1	1%	5%
7Q1	Approval/inspection of tools & gauges	1	1%	5%
6Q1	Statistical sampling inspection plans	1	1%	6%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of PC Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
5Q2	Required qualifications/approvals	1	1%	6%
4Q5	Inspection records	1	1%	5%
4Q2	Location of inspection stations	1	1%	5%
4Q12	Completion of all inspections and tests	1	1%	5%
4P9	Completed product/part identification	1	1%	5%
4P7	Identification/control of partially accepted parts	1	1%	5%
4P2	Work instructions prepared	1	1%	5%
4P10	Aircraft marking	1	1%	8%
3BQ2	Build and load instructions	1	1%	6%
3BQ1	Verification prior to use	1	1%	6%
3BE4	Software security	1	1%	6%
3BE2	Change documentation and approval	1	1%	8%
3BE1	Software Configuration Management Plan	1	1%	6%
2S3	AD/safety-related design changes to users	1	1%	8%
2E8	Major/minor design changes	1	1%	6%
2E7	Design/Technical data document control	1	1%	5%
2E2	Drawing control system	1	1%	5%
2E1	Design change approval	1	1%	5%
2C3	Distribution of Inst. for Continued Airworthiness approval	1	1%	9%
14C3	Submittal of quality system data changes	1	1%	6%
14C1	Failure reporting	1	1%	6%
12Q2	Special environmental controls	1	1%	5%
11Q4	Material review board generated	1	1%	5%
10Q9	Verification of shelf-life materials	1	1%	6%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of PC Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
10Q5	Flowdown of technical and quality requirements	1	1%	6%
10Q4	Control of buyer-furnished material	1	1%	8%
10Q12	Records of receiving inspection	1	1%	5%
10Q10	Receiving inspection	1	1%	6%

Table 10. – Systemic Observations at PC Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Observations	Percent of PC Systemic Observations	Percent of PC Facilities
2E6	Storage of design documents	2	18%	11%
9Q11	Critical radiographic parameters identified	1	9%	8%
7Q1	Approval/inspection of tools & gauges	1	9%	5%
6Q10	Corrective action	1	9%	8%
4Q3	Issuance of inspection stamps	1	9%	5%
4P2	Work instructions prepared	1	9%	5%
3BE1	Software Configuration Management Plan	1	9%	6%
2E1	Design change approval	1	9%	5%
2C2	Major Design Change Approval	1	9%	6%
12Q5	Identification of age control products	1	9%	5%

Table 11. – Isolated Observation at PC Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Observations	Percent of PC Isolated Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
7Q1	Approval/inspection of tools & gauges	2	6%	10%
15M1	Internal auditing program	2	6%	11%
11Q2	Control of nonconforming products	2	6%	10%
11Q1	Control of nonconforming products	2	6%	10%
10Q2	Use of approved suppliers	2	6%	12%
7Q6	Calibration and use in acceptable environment	1	3%	5%
7Q11	Control of production tooling	1	3%	5%
6Q2	Training in sampling techniques	1	3%	8%
5Q4	Records maintained	1	3%	6%
5Q3	Drawing control system	1	3%	6%
5Q2	Required qualifications/approvals	1	3%	6%
5Q1	Equipment available and calibrated	1	3%	6%
4Q6	Policies/ procedures availability	1	3%	5%
4Q12	Completion of all inspections and tests	1	3%	5%
4Q10	Inspection marking	1	3%	5%
4P7	Identification/control of partially accepted parts	1	3%	5%
4P6	Familiarity with specifications	1	3%	5%
4P5	Work instruction revision approval	1	3%	5%
4P4	Work instructions control manufacturing process	1	3%	5%
4P2	Work instructions prepared	1	3%	5%
3BE4	Software security	1	3%	6%
3AE5	Software security	1	3%	9%
2E2	Drawing control system	1	3%	5%
1Q4	Quality Manual	1	3%	5%

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Observations	Percent of PC Isolated Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
10Q10	Receiving inspection	1	3%	6%
10Q1	Permanent identification of scrap material	1	3%	6%

Table 12. – CFR-Based Observations at PC Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of CFR-Based Observations	Percent of PC CFR-Based Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
8C1	Approval of flight test procedures	1	14%	9%
4Q1	Inspection methods and plans	1	14%	5%
2E2	Drawing control system	1	14%	5%
2C1	Minor design change approval	1	14%	6%
15M1	Internal auditing program	1	14%	5%
14C3	Submittal of quality system data changes	1	14%	6%
11Q1	Control of nonconforming products	1	14%	5%

Table 13. – Systemic Findings at PMA Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of PMA Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
4P9	Completed product/part identification	11	9%	9%
5Q3	Accord with process specifications	9	8%	12%
11Q1	Control of nonconforming products	8	7%	7%
4Q1	Inspection methods and plans	6	5%	5%
4Q12	Completion of all inspections and tests	5	4%	4%
2E7	Design/Technical data document control	5	4%	4%
2C2	Major Design Change Approval	5	4%	5%
2C1	Records maintained	5	4%	4%
4M1	Operation within production limitations	4	3%	4%
10Q1	Initial and periodic evaluation of suppliers	4	3%	4%
7Q1	Approval/inspection of tools & gauges	3	3%	3%
7Q2	Instructions for acceptance tooling	2	2%	2%
5Q2	Required qualifications/approvals	2	2%	3%
5E1	All special processes in use identified	2	2%	3%
4Q5	Inspection records	2	2%	2%
4E1	Accord with FAA-approved design data	2	2%	2%
12Q5	Identification of age control products	2	2%	2%
12Q3	Storage of conforming parts	2	2%	2%
11Q2	Permanent identification of scrap material	2	2%	2%
10Q5	Flow down of technical and quality requirements	2	2%	2%
10Q10	Receiving inspection	2	2%	2%
8E1	Test procedures/instructions established	1	1%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of PMA Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
7Q7	Accuracy of inspection & test equipment	1	1%	1%
7Q4	Traceability to national/international standards	1	1%	1%
7Q3	Tool & gauge recall system	1	1%	1%
7Q14	Identification of gauges	1	1%	1%
7Q12	Calibration records	1	1%	1%
7Q11	Control of production tooling	1	1%	2%
6Q6	Training in SPC techniques	1	1%	3%
6Q2	Training in sampling techniques	1	1%	2%
5Q1	Equipment available and calibrated	1	1%	2%
4Q3	Issuance of inspection stamps	1	1%	1%
4Q10	Inspection marking	1	1%	1%
4P4	Work instructions control manufacturing processes	1	1%	1%
4P3	Control of special processing equipment	1	1%	1%
3AE1	Software Configuration Management Plan	1	1%	3%
2E9	Technical data file	1	1%	1%
2E8	Major/minor design changes	1	1%	1%
2E3	Completion of all inspections and tests	1	1%	1%
2E2	Drawing control system	1	1%	1%
1Q6	Record retention schedule	1	1%	1%
1Q5	Tags, forms, etc. described	1	1%	1%
1Q4	Quality Manual	1	1%	1%
1Q1	Quality organization described	1	1%	1%
13Q1	Log books	1	1%	8%
12Q8	Conforming products packaged and shipped	1	1%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Findings	Percent of PMA Systemic Findings	Percent with Procedures in Place
12Q4	Segregation of products in storage	1	1%	1%
12Q2	Special environmental controls	1	1%	1%
12Q1	Prevention of part damage/contamination	1	1%	1%
11Q6	Corrective action required	1	1%	1%
11Q4	Material review board generated	1	1%	1%
11Q3	MRB established and operational	1	1%	1%
10Q8	Verification of raw material	1	1%	1%
10Q6	Quality Assurance review of purchase documents	1	1%	1%

Table 14. – Systemic Observation at PMA Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Observations	Percent of PMA Systemic Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
10Q1	Initial & periodic evaluation of suppliers	8	13%	8%
10Q2	Use of approved suppliers	6	10%	5%
2E1	Design change approval	5	8%	5%
7Q1	Inspection records	3	5%	3%
4P4	Work instructions control manufacturing processes	3	5%	3%
11Q3	MRB established and operational	3	5%	4%
7Q9	Control of special processing equipment	2	3%	3%
6Q1	Statistical sampling inspection plans	2	3%	3%
4Q12	Completion of all inspections and tests	2	3%	2%
4P9	Completed part/product identification	2	3%	2%
1M5	Policy document review	2	3%	2%
15M1	Internal auditing program	2	3%	2%
9Q3	NDI procedures/specifications available and used	1	2%	2%
7Q7	Accuracy of inspection & test equipment	1	2%	1%
7Q6	Calibration and use in acceptable environment	1	2%	1%
7Q3	Tool & Gauge recall system	1	2%	1%
7Q2	Instructions for acceptance tooling	1	2%	1%
7Q12	Calibration records	1	2%	1%
4Q6	Policies/ procedures availability	1	2%	1%
4Q3	Issuance of inspection stamps	1	2%	1%
4Q1	Inspection methods and plans	1	2%	1%
4P7	Identification/control of partially accepted parts	1	2%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Systemic Observations	Percent of PMA Systemic Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
4P6	Familiarity with specifications	1	2%	1%
4P1	Change approval	1	2%	1%
3AE1	Software Configuration Management Plan	1	2%	3%
2E8	Major/minor design changes	1	2%	1%
2E4	AD incorporation into design	1	2%	2%
2E3	Completion of all inspections and tests	1	2%	1%
2E2	Drawing approval system	1	2%	1%
1Q6	Record retention schedule	1	2%	1%
1Q5	Tags, forms, etc. described	1	2%	1%
1Q4	Quality Manual	1	2%	1%
15M2	Feedback to higher-level management	1	2%	1%
10Q10	Receiving inspection	1	2%	1%

Table 15. – Isolated Observation at PMA Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Observations	Percent of PMA Isolated Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
7Q14	Identification of gauges	3	5%	3%
4P9	Completed part/product identification	3	5%	3%
15M2	Feedback to higher-level management	3	5%	3%
10Q2	Use of approved suppliers	3	5%	3%
10Q1	Initial & periodic evaluation of suppliers	3	5%	3%
8E2	Control of test procedure/ instruction change	2	3%	3%
7Q4	Traceability to national/international standards	2	3%	2%
7Q16	Inaccurate tools and gauges identified	2	3%	2%
7Q11	Control of production tooling	2	3%	3%
7Q1	Approval/inspection of tools and gauges	2	3%	2%
4Q9	Traceability to raw material	2	3%	2%
4Q1	Inspection method and plans	2	3%	2%
4P2	Work instructions prepared	2	3%	2%
13E1	AD incorporation	2	3%	3%
11Q4	Material review record generated	2	3%	2%
11Q2	Permanent identification of scrap material	2	3%	2%
11Q1	Control of nonconforming products	2	3%	2%
10Q10	Receiving inspection	2	3%	2%
9Q9	Records of compliance	1	2%	2%
9Q4	Tanks and solutions checked	1	2%	2%
7Q9	Control of special processing equipment	1	2%	2%
7Q3	Tool & Gauge recall system	1	2%	1%

Criteria	Description	Number of Isolated Observations	Percent of PMA Isolated Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
7Q15	Care of tool and gauges	1	2%	1%
4Q5	Inspection records	1	2%	1%
4P4	Work instructions control manufacturing processes	1	2%	1%
4P3	Work instructions reflect tech data	1	2%	1%
4P1	Change approval	1	2%	1%
4E1	Accord with FAA-approved design data	1	2%	1%
2E7	Design/Technical data document control	1	2%	1%
2E2	Drawing approval system	1	2%	1%
2E1	Design change approval	1	2%	1%
2C4	Data submittal for TSO minor design change approval	1	2%	33%
2C1	Minor design change approval	1	2%	1%
1Q4	Quality Manual	1	2%	1%
1M6	Policy/procedures availability	1	2%	1%
1M4	FAA designee authority	1	2%	2%
14C4	Relocation of manufacturing facility	1	2%	1%
12Q5	Identification of age control products	1	2%	1%
12Q4	Segregation of products in storage	1	2%	1%
12Q3	Storage of conforming parts	1	2%	1%
11Q7	Corrective action monitored	1	2%	1%

Table 16. – CFR-Based Observations at PMA Facilities

Criteria	Description	Number of CFR-Based Observations	Percent of PMA CFR-Based Observations	Percent with Procedures in Place
2E8	Major/minor design changes	2	18%	2%
10Q8	Verification of raw material	2	18%	2%
6Q1	Statistical sampling inspection plans	1	9%	2%
5Q3	Accord with process specifications	1	9%	1%
4P9	Completed product/part identification	1	9%	1%
4E1	Accord with FAA-approved design data	1	9%	1%
2E1	Design change approval	1	9%	1%
12Q6	Incorporation of design changes	1	9%	1%
11C1	Major changes approved	1	9%	1%